

MINUTES

Minutes of a meeting of the **REPRESENTATIONAL COMMITTEE** held in the Stable Block Meeting Room, Stone House, Corve Street, Ludlow on **TUESDAY 3rd MAY 2011** at **7.00pm**

R/97 PRESENT

Chairman: Councillor Wilcox

Councillors: Aitken, Callender; Davies; Hunt, McCormack and Smithers

Officers: Gina Wilding, Communications & Cemetery Officer

Also attending: Dyanne Humphries, Principle Planning Officer, Shropshire Council

R/98 APOLOGIES

No apologies for absence were received

R/99 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

In accordance with the terms of the Local Authorities (Model Code of Conduct) (England) Order 2007 issued under Section 51 of the Local Government Act 2000 Members declared interests as follows: -

Personal Interest:

Cllr Aitken	11/01407/FUL	knows applicant
Cllr McCormack	11/01407/FUL	knows applicant

R/100 PUBLIC OPEN SESSION (15 minutes)

There was one member of the public present.
John Nash, Ludlow Civic Society

R/101 MINUTES

RESOLVED (unanimous)

That the minutes of the meeting of the **REPRESENTATIONAL COMMITTEE** held on **5th April 2011** were approved and signed as a correct record.

R/102 PLANNING DECISIONS FROM SHROPSHIRE COUNCIL

The decisions listed below were **NOTED**:

RESOLVED (unanimous)

10/04188/FUL **Decision Grant**
 11/00169/LBC **Decision Grant**
 11/00651/ADV **Decision Grant**
 11/00629/COU **Decision Grant**
 11/00633/LBC **Decision Grant**

R/103 PLANNING APPLICATION COMMENTS**RESOLVED**

11/01058/LBC (unanimous)	Angel Hotel Broad Street Ludlow	No objection
11/01219/ADV 11/01220/LBC (unanimous)	10 Bull Ring Ludlow 10 Bull Ring Ludlow	No objection No objection
11/00993/LBC (unanimous)	22 Bull Ring Ludlow	No objection
11/01364/LBC (unanimous) LTC comments:	The Olive Branch 2-4 Old Street Ludlow Cllrs objection is not to the principle of a fume extraction system, but to the proposed location. They believe that the proposed position may detrimental effect the function of the window, and the extraction unit would be more suitability located at the bottom of the window above, concealed from view within a window box.	Object
11/01156/FUL (6:0:1)	Forget-Me-Not Sheet Road Ludlow	No objection
11/01407/FUL (unanimous) LTC comments:	Dinham Lodge Dinham Ludlow Members ask that planting is used to soften the starkness of the parkland style railings	No objection
11/01472/LBC (unanimous) LTC comments:	110 Corve Street Ludlow Members would like to advise that the door is painted in a way that is sympathetic with its environment	No objection
11/00857/FUL (6:0:1)	39 The Cliff Park, Halton Lane, Ludlow	No objection
11/01881/TCA (6:0:1)	Castle Walk House, Linney Ludlow	No objection

11/01169/OUT (6:0:1)	3 Livesey Avenue, Ludlow	No objection
11/01560/FUL (unanimous)	Cromwell Cottage, 18 Bell Lane, Ludlow	No objection
11/01822/LBC (unanimous)	Cromwell Cottage, 18 Bell Lane, Ludlow	No objection

R/103 GRANTS, PLANNING, TRANSPORT, PARKING & PATHS

(a) Queens Diamond Jubilee

RESOLVED (unanimous)

Members were delighted to receive a presentation from Vice Chair Jenny Vaughan. She thanked Ludlow Town Council (LTC) for all their support and the £5,000 grant funding towards the Diamond Jubilee celebrations.

Jenny said the aim was to involve everyone in the community. Organisations large and small would be involved. There would be events involving the Brownies, Guides, Scouts and Beavers after Mayor's Sunday at the Plymouth Estate. A Jubilee Olympiad was being arranged. Festivities would include red, white and blue bunting. There would be a beacon and fireworks on Whitcliffe and youth events including Ludlow Football Club.

The Diamond Jubilee Committee will also organise many fund raising events and their finances would be transparent with periodic reports to the LTC.

A four day event would include LAR, street entertainment, and 'last night at the proms' and flower festival at parish church.

(b) Grant Application

RESOLVED (5:1:1)

To award £200 grant application from Arts Alive

(c) Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDev) Plan

RESOLVED (unanimous)

To reject the summary and ask Shropshire council to resubmit a more considered and meaningful summary.

In many cases, oversimplified language and broad brush terms have lead to misrepresentation of the original intention. Members would like to pick out a few notable examples of the way compression has made comments meaningless.

In the Parish Plan section:

- **Improve Park & Ride signage, Sunday and evening buses**
- **Restrict and simplify signage** (Members original comments asked for critical consideration to be given to minimizing sign in an effective way. There were also specific examples given)
- **Improvement to A49 junctions** (members specifically asked for a roundabout at the northern junction)
- **Enforcement of existing restrictions to prevent large lorries and coaches entering central area** (There were specific comments stating that length restrictions are a more important consideration than weight restrictions on Ludford bridge)
- **Promote Health** (This is a very broad brush term that could mean almost anything – members have made detailed and specific comments in support of Ludlow Hospital)

Toolkit Events

- **Better use of the Assembly Rooms** (define?)

Future Development

- **Improvements to street lighting** (this could mean changing the style of the lamp posts – but Cllrs specifically asked for eco-friendly lights that would reduce / minimize light pollution)
- **Commenting on a combination of Ludlow and Ludford** (Members commented that there was commonality between the two parishes)

(d) Inspiring and Enabling Local Communities: An integrated delivery model for Localism and the Environment

RESOLVED (unanimous)

To note final report from The Countryside and Community Research Institute

(e) 'A' Board Policy

RESOLVED (unanimous)

Members commented that the summary was inappropriate and could even be considered disingenuous because it did not recognise the pilot process or include the main points of discussion. Due to apparent lack of information members decided to defer their comments on Shropshire Council's Policy to the next meeting.

(f) Pavement Permits

RESOLVED (unanimous)

To express their concerns regarding Shropshire Council's Pavement Permit Policy. Members felt that the scheme is likely to create more bureaucracy and admin than warranted. Members were also concerned that the permit policy may detrimentally affect the some of Ludlow's annual festivals, especially the Sausage Trail at the Food Festival.

The meeting closed at **20:30pm**

Chairman

NB: No confidential minutes will be issued

Date