

## MINUTES

Minutes of a meeting of the **REPRESENTATIONAL COMMITTEE** held in the Stable Block Meeting Room, Stone House, Corve Street, Ludlow on **TUESDAY 20<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER 2011** at 7.00pm

### R/34 PRESENT

Chairman: Councillor Wilcox  
Councillors: Davies; Hunt, Jackson, and Smithers  
Officers: Gina Wilding, Communications & Cemetery Officer  
Also Attending: Stuart Thomas, Central Area Development Manager (SC)  
Dyanne Humphreys, Principle Planning Officer (SC)

### R/35 APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were received from Cllrs Aitken & McCormack

### R/36 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

In accordance with the terms of the Local Authorities (Model Code of Conduct) (England) Order 2007 issued under Section 51 of the Local Government Act 2000 Members declared interests as follows: -

#### **Personal Interest:**

**Cllr Smithers**      **11/04026/TCA**      knows the neighbour who made a representation

### R/37 PUBLIC OPEN SESSION (15 minutes)

There were seven members of the public present.

Mr S Buteux

Ludlow Civic Society, Chairman

Requested that members of the public attending be permitted to make comments during the Planning Liaison Model item (the suspension of standing orders by members of the committee must be agreed by a vote)

Vince Birmingham

Hendra House

Informed members that yellow lines have been painted on Sandpits Road without consultation with the school or Hendra House. The resulting loss of parking spaces has been inconvenient to the elderly residents and detrimental to his business. He had been informed by Shropshire Council Traffic Officer that Ludlow Town Council requested that the yellow lines were extended. He asked that the committee respond at a future date to explain the reasons for their request.

Mr Perks  
Skillbuilders

Mr Perks asked the committee to let him have their views on twinning signs to celebrate and inform of all three of Ludlow's twin towns, including Narbeth, Wales

Mr Nash  
Ludlow Civic Society

Mr Nash informed the committee that the land behind 9 – 10 King Street had been successfully purchased on behalf of Ludlow Civic Society and would become a garden / community space. He expressed thanks to Ludlow Town Council for their support and contribution to the successful outcome.

**R/38 MINUTES**

**RESOLVED (unanimous)**  
**JS / PH**

That the minutes of the meeting of the **REPRESENTATIONAL COMMITTEE** held on **23<sup>rd</sup> August 2011** be approved and signed as a correct record.

**R/39 PLANNING LIAISON MODEL**

**RESOLVED (unanimous)**  
**JS / PH**

To suspend standing orders to allow comments from members of the public

Following minutes and resolutions received from the Town Council, Mr Thomas Central Area Development Manager, Shropshire Council, stated he would like to clarify aspects of the new model, which would come into effect in the New Year.

**Planning Support**

Firstly, Mr Thomas stated that there is no intension to withdraw support from Representational Committee at present. However, Shropshire Council would be interested to receive feedback from LTC to establish whether the support was good value?

Chairman, Jeffrey Wilcox stated that the technical assistance received from Planning Officers Dyanne Humphreys is invaluable to Representational Committee. He said that the work of LCAAC had also been invaluable and should not be lost.

Mr Thomas informed the meeting that the new group would enhance and not replace the work of LCAAC

**Diversity**

Mr Thomas said that the challenge with planning in general is to balance the sometimes competing interests of many different groups. The proposed new group would include as wide a range of interested parties as possible to reflect the interests of Ludlow, although the exact composition of the group was a matter for further discussion. He acknowledged that the heritage assets within Ludlow

need to be recognised, and said that although the success of the model is based on activities and applications in Shrewsbury, the model could be adapted to work in Ludlow.

### **Chairman**

Cllr Wilcox asked why the chairman had to be a Shropshire Councillor?  
Mr Thomas advised him that the terms of reference for the group were set by Shropshire Council and therefore the chairman would be a Councillor

### **Pre-Application Stage**

Mr Thomas outlined the remit of the new group, who would look at applications at the pre-application stage i.e before the formal application has been made to Shropshire Council. It was explained that this would mean that the group could engage with developers at an earlier stage in the process before final decisions had been made, and the group's views would therefore be more likely to be influential.

It seemed to members that the new model was designed to accommodate applications of a significant size that would be undertaken by developers, who would be able to make presentations to the group. However, the vast majority of applications made in Ludlow did not fall into this category, which raised concerns about the suitability and 'fit' of this model in Ludlow

### **Significant Applications**

Mr Thomas said that the new group would decide which applications are significant to Ludlow and significant did not have to mean large scale.

### **Analysis of the success in Shrewsbury**

The key concern of all present was the lack of documented analysis to support the assertions made by Shropshire Council and the criteria against which the success of the Shrewsbury Planning Liaison Group had been measured.

Members asked to see the reports and analysis that enable Shropshire Council to reach its decision regarding the best planning model for Ludlow.

Mr Thomas said he would have to make enquiries as to whether this would be possible.

Members thanked Mr Thomas for attending the meeting, although not all of their concerns had been fully answered at this stage.

### **RESOLVED (unanimous)**

**JW / JS**

To reinstate standing orders

## **R/40 LCAAC AGENDA & MINUTES**

### **RESOLVED (unanimous)**

**JW / JS**

To note LCAAC agenda and minutes

**R/41 PLANNING DECISIONS FROM SHROPSHIRE COUNCIL**

The decisions listed below were **NOTED**:

**RESOLVED (unanimous)**

**JS / PH**

<b>11/00877/FUL</b>	<b>Decision: Grant</b>	<b>10/03243/FUL</b>	<b>Decision: Refused</b>
<b>11/01058/LBC</b>	<b>Decision: Grant</b>	<b>11/02719/FUL</b>	<b>Decision: Grant</b>
<b>11/02811/FUL</b>	<b>Decision: Grant</b>	<b>11/03089/FUL</b>	<b>Decision: Grant</b>
<b>11/01421/FUL</b>	<b>Decision: Grant</b>	<b>11/03645/TCA</b>	<b>Decision: No objection</b>
<b>10/03037/LBC</b>	<b>Decision: Refused</b>		

**R42 PLANNING COMMENTS**

**11/03546/COU**

22 Broad Street Ludlow

**RESOLVED (unanimous)**

**RJ / JS**

No objection

**11/03603/TCA**

76 Julian Road Ludlow

**RESOLVED (unanimous)**

**JS / PH**

No objection

**11/03761/TCA**

Ailsa Craig St Julians Avenue Ludlow

**RESOLVED (unanimous)**

**JS / PH**

To delegate decision to Town Council Tree Officer

**11/03492/TCA**

18 Bull Ring Ludlow Shropshire

**RESOLVED (4:0:1)**

**JS / PH**

No objection

**11/04026/TCA**

Cartref 9 The Cliff Park Halton Lane Ludlow

**RESOLVED (unanimous)**

**JS / PH**

No objection

**LTC comments:** Members would like to request that the work is sympathetically undertaken by a qualified tree surgeon and if necessary in consultation with a Shropshire Council Tree Officer

**11/03995/TCA** Rhosymedre, Linney, Ludlow

**RESOLVED (4:1:0)**

**JS / PH**

To delegate decision to Town Council Tree Officer

**11/03416/FUL** 2 Upper Linney Ludlow

**RESOLVED (unanimous)**

**JS / JW**

No objection

**LTC comments:** Members have no objection to the erection of a conservatory, however, the application does not contain sufficient detail with regard to listed building consent and members would like the matter resolved to the satisfaction of Shropshire Council Conservation Officer.

**11/03417/LBC** 2 Upper Linney Ludlow

**RESOLVED (unanimous)**

**JS / JW**

No objection

**LTC comments:** Members have no objection to the erection of a conservatory, however, the application does not contain sufficient detail with regard to listed building consent and members would like the matter resolved to the satisfaction of Shropshire Council Conservation Officer.

**11/03796/LBC** 31 Broad Street Ludlow

**RESOLVED (unanimous)**

**JS / PH**

No objection

**11/03810/FUL** 10 Hamlet Road Ludlow

**Application withdrawn**

**R43 GRANTS, PLANNING, TRANSPORT, PARKING & PATHS**

**(a) ADOPTED SHROPSHIRE CORE STRATEGY**

**RESOLVED (unanimous)**

**JS / PH**

To note that a print reference copy of the Core Strategy is available from the office

**(b) WEBSITE**

**RECOMMENDED (unanimous)**  
**RJ / PH**

To recommend to Policy and Finance that the website [www.nutritionist-resource.org.uk](http://www.nutritionist-resource.org.uk) is not suitable for inclusion on [www.ludlow.gov.uk](http://www.ludlow.gov.uk) for the following reasons:

(i) Ludlow Town Council's website aims to promote local facilities and services to local people. There are no locally available services listed on the web site. The website is therefore not suitable for inclusion on [www.ludlow.gov.uk](http://www.ludlow.gov.uk)

The meeting closed at **20:35pm**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman

NB: No confidential minutes will be issued

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date