

## MINUTES

Minutes of a meeting of the **REPRESENTATIONAL COMMITTEE** held in the Ludlow Conference Centre, Lower Galdeford, Ludlow on **TUESDAY 22<sup>nd</sup> SEPTEMBER 2009** at **7PM** 

## R214/08/09 PRESENT

Chairman: Cllr Wilcox

Vice Chairman: Cllr Hunt

Councillors: Callender, Jackson, McCormack, Parry (7.28pm) and

**Smithers** 

Officers: Hannah Coleman, Assistant Clerk

## **R215/08/09 APOLOGIES**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Aitken

# R216/08/09 <u>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</u>

In accordance with the terms of the Local Authorities (Model Code of Conduct) (England) Order 2007 issued under Section 51 of the Local Government Act 2000 Members declared interests as follows: -

#### Personal Interests

Member Item

Clirs Callender, Hunt, **5.** McCormack, Smithers

and Wilcox

 Cllr Wilcox
 6. 09/01811/FUL and 09/01812/LBC

 Cllr Smithers
 7. 09/02303/FUL and 09/02304/LBC

 Cllr Hunt
 7. 09/02350/FUL and 09/02351/LBC

 Cllr Jackson
 7. 09/02350/FUL and 09/02351/LBC

 Cllr Wilcox
 7. 09/02350/FUL and 09/02351/LBC

Cllr Hunt 8. b)

**R217/08/09 OPEN SESSION** – There were no members of the public present.

## R218/08/09 MINUTES

#### <u>RESOLVED</u>

that the Minutes of the meeting of the REPRESENTATIONAL COMMITTEE held on TUESDAY 1<sup>ST</sup> SEPTEMBER 2009 be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

## R219/08/09 URGENT BUSINESS

**TOWN COUNCIL TREE WARDEN** – It was noted that Peter Norman, the Tree Warden for Ludlow Town Council, had written to the Town Clerk to clarify what his current role was and to establish future requirements. It had been resolved at the Policy & Finance Committee that the Chairmen of the Representational and Services Committees should meet with the Town Clerk to form a recommendation.

The Committee **AGREED** that the Council should ask Mr Norman to continue in his duties as an expert Tree Warden and ensure that contact was made with him to acknowledge his letter and keep him informed of progress.

## R220/08/09 NOTICES OF DECISIONS BY SHROPSHIRE COUNCIL

The following decisions were **NOTED**:

09/00171/FUL Grant Planning Permission
09/00964/ADV Grant Advertisement Consent
09/01227/FUL Grant Planning Permission
09/01238/CON Grant Listed Building Consent
09/01811/FUL Withdrawn
09/01812/LBC Withdrawn
09/00990/LBC Grant Listed Building Consent
09/00989/FUL Grant Planning Permission

# R221/08/09 PLANNING APPLICATIONS FROM SHROPSHIRE COUNCIL

Application Number	09/02303/FUL	Parish	Ludlow	No objection
Location	1 Castle Street Lu	udlow Shropshire SY	8 1AS	
Proposal	Installation of ve	ntilation extract duct	and refriger	ration plant
Agent/Applicant and Address	•	Mr Michael Ettinger, ft, Crabtree Drive, Sk	_	
<b>OS Reference</b> 351074 - 274585	Received Date 20th August	Valid Date 20th August 2009		Itation Expiry eptember 2009
	2009			

Application Number	09/02304/LBC	Parish	Ludlow	No objection
Location	1 Castle Street Ludlow Shropshire SY8 1AS			
Proposal	Internal works and refrigeration plant	l installation of ven	tilation extra	act duct and
Agent/Applicant and Address	Tates Limited c/o Mr Michael Ettinger, Ettinger and Ettinger, Westcroft, Crabgate Drive, Skellow, Doncaster, South Yorkshire			
<b>OS Reference</b> 351074 - 274585	Received Date 20th August 2009	Valid Date 20th August 2009		<b>Itation Expiry</b> eptember 2009

LTC Comments 303-304: Members would like to be satisfied that potential noise levels have been looked into, especially in this residential area where the levels should be kept to a minimum.

Application Number	09/02350/FUL	Parish	Ludlow	No objection	
Location	Harp House 72 Lower Broad Street Ludlow Shropshire SY8 1PH				
Proposal	Erection of conservatory to rear; formation of french doors and close up existing door to rear				
Agent/Applicant and Address	Mr S Turner c/o Mr D Davies, David R Davies (C and A E) Ltd,23 Charlton Rise, Ludlow, Shropshire, SY8 1ND,				
<b>OS Reference</b> 351199 - 274343	Received Date 24th August 2009	Valid Date 24th August 2009		tation Expiry eptember 2009	
Ammlianting					
Application Number	09/02351/LBC	Parish	Ludlow	No objection	
		Parish wer Broad Street Luc			
Number	Harp House 72 Lo	wer Broad Street Luc vatory to rear; format	llow Shrop	oshire SY8 1PH	
Number Location	Harp House 72 Lo Erection of conser close up existing of Mr S Turner c/o M	wer Broad Street Luc vatory to rear; format	llow Shroption of free Davies (C	oshire SY8 1PH nch doors and C and A E)	

Application Number	09/02325/TCA	Parish	Ludlow	No objection
Location	The Croft 12 Dinl	nam Ludlow Shropshi	re SY8 1E	J
Proposal	To remove overhanging branches of one purple cherry plum and fell one hazelnut tree within ludlow conservation area			
Agent/Applicant and Address	Mr Thomas H Car 1EJ,	ulcott 12 Dinham, L	udlow, Shr	opshire, SY8
OS Reference	<b>Received Date</b>	Valid Date	Consul	tation Expiry
350927 - 274498	26th August 2009	26th August 2009		ptember 2009
Application Number	09/02413/TCA	Parish	Ludlow	No objection
Location	Castle Walk House Linney Ludlow Shropshire SY8 1EG			
Proposal	To fell one sycamore, four ash and three thuja trees, and prune one laurel within ludlow conservation area			
Agent/Applicant and Address	Mrs Gill Dowden Castle Walk House, Linney, Ludlow, Shropshire, SY8 1EG			
<b>OS Reference</b> 350896 - 274706	Received Date 3rd September 2009	Valid Date 3rd September 2009		tation Expiry

LTC Comments: Members would prefer to see a reduction in the number of trees felled if possible, rather than all 8 to be felled

Application Number	09/02406/TCA	Parish	Ludlow	No objection
Location	29 Mill Street Ludlow Shropshire SY8 1BG			
Proposal	To carry out vario area	us works to trees with	in ludlow	conservation
Agent/Applicant and Address	Mr Mark Hollings AMH Treeline Hawthorn Cottage, Lower Common, Longden , Shrewsbury, SY5 8HF			
<b>OS Reference</b> 351028 - 274413	Received Date 4th September 2009	Valid Date 4th September 2009		tation Expiry ptember 2009

Application Number	09/02252/FUL	Parish	Ludlow Objects	
Location	Dinham Lodge D	Dinham Lodge Dinham Ludlow Shropshire SY8 1EH		
Proposal	Extension of bay	at first floor to extend	bedroom	
Agent/Applicant and Address		Mr And Mrs T Heath c/o John Needham Associates, Gorsty, Maryknoll, Ludlow, Shropshire, SY8 2HD		
<b>OS Reference</b> 350854 - 274462	Received Date 17th August 2009	Valid Date 17th August 2009	Consultation Expiry 15th September 2009	
Application Number	09/02253/LBC	Parish	Ludlow Objects	
Location	Dinham Lodge Dinham Ludlow Shropshire SY8 1EH			
Proposal	Extension of bay at first floor to extend bedroom			
Agent/Applicant and Address	Mr And Mrs T Heath c/o John Needham Associates, Gorsty, Maryknoll, Ludlow, Shropshire, SY8 2HD			
<b>OS Reference</b> 350854 - 274462	Received Date 17th August 2009	Valid Date 17th August 2009	Consultation Expiry 15th September 2009	
LTC Comments 252-253: Members felt that the proposal was inappropriate to this classic house. It would destroy the symmetry of the building.				

R222/08/09 LUDFO NOTED:

**LUDFORD APPLICATIONS** – The following applications were

09/02223/LBC 09/02331/TCA

# R223/08/09 PLANNING, TRANSPORT, PARKING & PATHS

# (a) Independent Policy Commission into Primary School Organisation in Shropshire – The following points were made:

Commission Recommendations	Ludlow Town Council
	Views
That Shropshire Council, for educational and equity reasons,	Agree
should set an objective of ensuring that the resources	
available to large schools are sufficient for them to maintain	
class sizes that will normally be no greater that 30	
That Shropshire Council should review the support	Agree, if some bureaucracy
arrangements for head teachers and teachers in small schools,	removed from senior staff.
including the support offered by clusters of schools, and the	Insufficient information on

possible development of federations	how effective development
	of federations would be
That Shropshire Council should review the role of mixed age	Agree to 2 years, but only
classes covering more that 2 years and the potential for closer	closer collaboration if it
collaboration between individual schools, to reduce the range	doesn't mean excessive
of years in classes	travelling for children
That Shropshire Council should review the case for further	Agree, but it must be
amalgamations of primary schools, where there is support for	implemented with fairness
this to happen among parents, governors, head teachers and	
teachers	
That Shropshire Council should take a leadership role in	Disagree. Head teachers
actively promoting the development of federations and other	should be encouraged to
collaborative approaches to school organisation	take the lead
That Shropshire Council should review its admissions	Agree
policies, with a view to balancing stability with flexibility,	
and should begin a review of the catchment areas of its	
schools, to ensure that they reflect contemporary social and	
physical geography	
That any future proposals for school reorganisation to be	Agree
considered by Shropshire Council should include an explicit	
assessment of the views of the parents of all the children	
affected by the proposals, to be set alongside other factors	
That the Commission for Rural Communities should	Agree
commission in depth social research on the tangible benefits	_
to rural communities of village schools and the impact which	
school closures in rural areas in recent years have had on the	
villages affected	
That the question of the links between a school and the wider	Strongly agree
community and its actual local use of a school are properly	
factored for Shropshire Council and other councils in rural	
areas to take into account in considering the future of small	
village schools	
That all primary schools in Shropshire should develop	Strongly agree
programmes for active community use of their facilities; all	
schools should aim to develop the potential of the extended	
schools concept and should develop strong links with the	
wider community, and that Shropshire Council should	
actively promote these objectives	
That Shropshire Council should explore the possibility of	Strongly agree
using rural schools to deliver other services and should pilot	
this concept in one or two areas	
That in all areas where any reorganisation of schools is	Excessive travelling must be
considered, Shropshire Council should work with the schools	avoided because of time,
and parents concerned to assess the transport implications and	costs and rural impact. The
help to develop school travel plans	Committee felt very strongly
That Shropshire Council should carry out an environmental	Agree, and especially
impact assessment when considering any future	address carbon footprint of
reorganisation, in consultation with schools and parents	using additional transport.
	Transport should also be
	well managed to avoid
	wasted miles

That the Church of England should see itself as an active partner to Shropshire Council in examining the issues and finding solutions for the future of school organisation in the county, and should be treated accordingly by the Council	Should read 'That Shropshire Council should see itself as an active partner to the Church of England'. The C of E has always been a very active partner and should be treated as such considering the number of C of E schools. The Committee was surprised by such a statement
That the Department for Children, Schools and Families should, when reviewing its national funding arrangements for schools, ensure that any new formula or allocation mechanism should fully recognise the needs of councils with small schools in rural areas	Agree
<ul> <li>That Shropshire Council and the Schools Forum should redistribute the county schools budget:</li> <li>to reduce overall funding for schools so as to ensure any fall in Dedicated Schools Grant can be accommodated; and</li> <li>to ensure the overall impact of changes to the schools formula would protect the level of per pupil funding in the largest primary schools</li> </ul>	The Committee had been advised that funding had now been secured. However, if a gap does exist then SC should find the funds, not the individual schools
That Shropshire Council should examine the relationship between all its secondary and primary schools including the scope for reorganising individual secondary schools	Agree
That those debating primary school organisation in Shropshire should accept that the Council's methodology and use of data provide a good and sound basis for forecasting pupil numbers and estimating the need for primary school places	Agree, if the methodology is sound. Reduced numbers of children not a good enough reason to close schools. This takes no account of potential baby booms or immigration into the county
That Shropshire Council should develop a new vision for Shropshire schools in partnership with each other and their wider community	Agree

**(b) Review of Bus Services** –The Committee agreed that the following suggestions, if implemented could improve the service in Ludlow:

The 703 could do park & ride only every 20 minutes running down Old Street on the way out, and up Old Street on the way back into town.

The 701 could stay as it is and if it needs to pick up Rocks Green later when the estate is full - just add 15 minutes to the time and run out to Rocks Green before going down Weyman Road.

702 to run out of town as it does now when going up Sheet Road turn left into Parry's Road, left into Tollgate back out of Tollgate left to the end of Parry's Road turn round, back to Sheet Road, then right and left into Greenacres back out of there left down Sheet Road

under the bridge left into Steventon, round Steventon to Weeping cross lane right up Weeping cross lane back into town via Somerfields & Tescos.

Beaconsfield could be added to this run but we think you would have to add another 15 minutes to the time.

Bromfield Road is already covered by the 435 which could go into the Leisure Centre on the way in and out of town.

- (c) Waiting Restrictions on Corve Street The traffic regulation order was NOTED.
- (d) The deadline extension for discussion of the Local Planning Framework 'Policy Directions' Consultation documents, which will take place at the next Representational Meeting on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2009, was **NOTED**. There was a public meeting called but unfortunately it was not well publicised. It was **AGREED** that the Committee should express their disappointment as it was a good meeting.

The meeting closed at	8:42pm	
Chairman		Date