

MINUTES

Minutes of a meeting of the **REPRESENTATIONAL COMMITTEE** held in the Stable Block Meeting Room, Stone House, Corve Street, Ludlow on **TUESDAY 29th JUNE 2010** at **7.00pm.**

R/09 PRESENT

Chairman: Cllr Wilcox

Councillors: Aitken, Callender, Davies, Mc Cormack and Smithers

Officers: Gina Wilding, Communications Officer

Also attending: Dyanne Humphries, Principle Planning Officer, Shropshire

Council; Colin Richards, Historic Environment Manager,

Shropshire Council

R/10 APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Hunt

R/11 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

In accordance with the terms of the Local Authorities (Model Code of Conduct) (England) Order 2007 issued under Section 51 of the Local Government Act 2000 Members declared interests as follows: -

Member Item

Cllr Callender 10/02273/FUL

Cllr Davies 10/02273/FUL

Cllr Wilcox 7b

R/12 OPEN SESSION

There were eighteen members of the public present

NAME & ADDRESS

ITEM

Mrs Brand, College Street, Ludlow

10/01762/FUL

Q: Does the council feel passionately and strongly about proposal and will they oppose it? **Q:** Ludlow is valued throughout the country, if this development goes ahead will the town council set up a website to advertise the disruption to the centre of historic Ludlow and advise potential visitors that they should not visit during the building work.

Charlotte Potter, Upper Linney, Ludlow

10/01762/FUL

Q: Has the accessibility problems and resulting difficulties in fighting fire in the area been considered?

Irene James. Resident of Ludlow

10/01762/FUL

The frequent deliveries and wheel barrow traffic will make a journey to town using a rotalator hazardous.

David Place, Upper Linney, Ludlow

10/01762/FUL

The streets are cobbled in this area and many properties have basements – heavy lorries will cause damage to the fabric of the street and the structure of the buildings.

Sue Mc Lamb, Upper Linney, Ludlow

10/01762/FUL

Expressed concerns that large Lorries will cause damage and structural 'creaking' in older houses.

In her role as museum educator, she frequently takes groups of approx. 30 children at a time to Church Walk to experience the historic environment firsthand – this is a key educational experience that would have to be stopped on health & safety grounds during construction.

Chris Potter, Upper Linney, Ludlow

10/01762/FUL

Concerned that his kitchen is below ground level and the weight of vehicles would cause damage; and above ground the narrow streets are not suitable and walls are likely to be damaged.

Q: Which route will the vehicles take? There are a number of architectural features close to the road which could easily be damaged.

The development would be detrimental to businesses in the area and interrupt the normal business of the church.

This central position is a very important archaeological area with archaeology close to the surface – there is a major case for an extensive excavation and the very real danger of disturbing human remains during the building work.

Roger Curry, Bodenham, Ludlow (Business Guild) 10/01762/FUL

Concerned with the arrangements for skips and delivery of material to the site – it's not clear what the developer is proposing. Would the developer be able to arrange same day collection for skips?

Between Bodenhams and the Buttercross the turn is very tight and large lorries are likely to cause damage to bollards by Bodenhams (as has recently happen during the Mayfair) and there is a risk that lorries will collide with Bodenhams causes stress to the structure of a 600 year old building – which needs to be taken into account in all considerations.

Chris Crawcroft, College Street, Ludlow 10/01762/FUL

Access to the site and removal of waste materials will be a problem. It seems that the pathway behind the Church Inn is privately owned.

Michael Page, Lower Barns Road, Ludlow 10/01762/FUL

Without a full archaeological survey a golden opportunity could be missed. In the new plans, a very large proportion of the existing 18th Century wall will be removed – this was not in the previously approved scheme.

John Nash, Ludlow Civic Society 10/01762/FUL

The path closure in Church walk happened without any local consultation – is there a mechanism for consultation to take place?

R/13 MINUTES

RESOLVED

That the minutes of the meeting of the **REPRESENTATIONAL COMMITTEE** held on **27**th **April 2010** were approved, following typo amendments, as a correct record.

R/14 PLANNING DECISIONS FROM SHROPSHIRE COUNCIL

The decisions listed below were **NOTED**

10/01902/TCA	No Objection	10/00306/LBC	Grant
10/01908/TCA	No Objection	10/00717/OUT	Grant
10/01941/TCA	No Objection	10/01285/LBC	Grant
10/01547/ADV	Grant	10/01305/FUL	Grant
10/01617/FUL	Refused	10/01312/LBC	Grant

R/15 PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMENTS

10/01682/COU Unit B (former Borderfoam) Lingen Road Ludlow No objection

SMc / JS (unanimous)

10/02075/FUL The Bungalow Bromfield Road Ludlow No objection

JS / JA (unanimous)

10/01834/VAR Aldi Stores Limited Station Drive Object

JW / LC 5:1:0

LTC comments: Members considered that an 8am start on Sunday (a day of rest) is unreasonable

10/02063/LBC Dinham Lodge Dinham Ludlow No objection

SMc / LC (unanimous)

10/02179/VAR Land Adjoining 43 Beech Close Ludlow No objection

JA / LC (unanimous)

10/02379/TPO 19 Langford Close Ludlow No objection

JS / LC (unanimous)

LTC comments: To follow the advice of the Tree Warden

10/02365/TCA Dinham Millenium Green Ludlow No objection

SMc / JS (unanimous)

LTC comments: To follow the advice of the Tree Warden

10/02210/FUL 3 Quality Square Ludlow No objection

JS / JA 5:1:0

10/02273/FUL 55 Stanton Road Ludlow No objection

JS / SMc (unanimous)

10/02326/FUL Lonsdale 33 Julian Road Ludlow No objection

SMc / JS 5:1:0

LTC comments: Council would like to stipulate the condition that the annex cannot be sold as a separate dewelling at any time in the future

10/02357/ADV Somerfield Supermarket Upper Galdeford Ludlow **Object JA / LC (unanimous)**

LTC comments: Members object to the overly imposing visual impact of the bright green background wall mounted signs, which will be visible in the conservation area even though the building is situated outside the actual conservation zone. When compared to the ones they are replacing members felt that the change in visual balance is detrimental to the existing street scene in a sensitive area.

Members ask that the signs are reduced in size to reduce their over powering impact.

R/16 PLANNING, TRANSPORT, PARKING & PATHS

(a) 10/01762/FUL - Land to rear of 9-10 King Street

RESOLVED

To move the item forward on the agenda for consideration after the public session LC / SM (unanimous)

Members, after discussing the item in-depth:

RESOLVED

to **OBJECT**

And a draft response (**appendix 1**) be prepared for comments from members present prior to sending to Shropshire Council **JW / SMc** (unanimous)

(b) Benches

Members considered the report and

RESOLVED

to make the following policy recommendations for Services Committee:

- **1.** Benches are a primary community service provided for residents and visitors, and plaques should be provided as an additional service for residents
- **2.** Town Council should be responsible for the positioning, funding and repair of benches
- **3.** The council should provide benches of a standard size and design.
- 4. Applications for plaques should be limited to one per bench
- **5.** Plaques should be available to residents at cost price.

JS / JA (unanimous)

(c) Signage

Members considered the suggestions from Shropshire Council relating to three signs on the northern approach to Ludlow and

RESOLVED

To agree to these recommendations from Shropshire Council and defer all further signage issues to the Signage Group

(d) Street Naming Policy

Members considered a street naming policy and

RESOLVED

that a policy was not appropriate and suggestions for street names should be considered on an individual basis

JA / DD (unanimous)

(e) Crafts Tourism

Members considered the proposal to promote the crafts & heritage based skills of Ludlow businesses as a unique selling point for tourism in Ludlow and

RESOLVED

- **1.** Town Council supports the concept but does not have the resources to deliver it.
- 2. The suggestion should be put out to wider consultation with groups such as the

Chamber, Tourism Group and Business Guild.

- **3.** Members suggested that hiring a stall or two on the market might be a useful way to test and develop the concept
- **4.** Members also suggested that the Green Fair run by David Current would be an ideal opportunity for this venture.

JW / JA (unanimous)

(f) Childcare Sufficiency Assessment

RESOLVED

To provide a link on the website and include the item in either Summer or Autumn Buttercross Bulletin – (confirm deadline with Shropshire Council)

JS / SMc (unanimous)

The meeting closed at 9:00pm		
Chairman	 Date	
ND. No confidential minutes will be issued		

NB: No confidential minutes will be issued